March 11, 2004

Ms. Meredith Ladd Brown & Hofmeister, L.L.P. 1717 Main Street, Suite 4300 Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2004-1850

Dear Ms. Ladd:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 197514.

The Kemp Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received a request for information relating to a named current or former employee. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the claimed exception and reviewed the submitted information. We have also considered comments submitted by the requestor. See Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing for submission of public comments).

Section 552.103 provides in part:

. . . .

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

¹Although you raise section 552.101 of the Government Code as an exception to disclosure, you did not submit to this office written comments stating the reasons why section 552.101 would allow the information to be withheld. Thus, we assume that you no longer claim this exception. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302.

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents sufficient to establish the applicability of section 552.103 to the information that it seeks to withhold. To meet this burden, the governmental body must demonstrate: (1) that litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date of its receipt of the request for information and (2) that the information at issue is related to that litigation. See Thomas v. Cornyn, 71 S.W.3d 473, 487 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.); Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found., 958 S.W.2d 479 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); Heard v. Houston Post Co., 684 S.W.2d 210 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); see also Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). Both elements of the test must be met in order for information to be excepted from disclosure under section 552.103.

You state that "[t]he State of Texas is prosecuting a criminal case against [the requestor's client]. This litigation is still pending in court." You indicate that the prosecution was pending when the department received this request for information. You do not inform us, however, that the department is a party to the pending criminal litigation. See Gov't Code § 552.103(a); Open Records Decision No. 575 at 2 (1990). Under such circumstances, we require an affirmative representation from the prosecuting attorney representing the governmental body that is a party to the litigation that he or she wants the submitted information withheld from disclosure under section 552.103. You have provided no such representation in this instance. Therefore, none of the submitted information may be withheld pursuant to section 552.103. See Open Records Decision No. 392 (1983) (litigation exception applies only where litigation involves or is expected to involve governmental body that is claiming exception).

We note, however, that the submitted records include the employee's W-4 form. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision" and encompasses information that is made confidential by statute. Section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code provides that tax return information is confidential. See 26 U.S.C. § 6103(a)(2), (b)(2)(A), (p)(8); see also Open Records Decision No. 600 (1992); Attorney General Op. MW-372 (1981). Accordingly, the department must withhold the employee's W-4 form pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with section 6103(a).

Section 552.101 also encompasses the common law right of privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to

the public. Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in Industrial Foundation included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. Id. at 683. In addition, this office has found that the following types of information are excepted from required public disclosure under common law privacy: an individual's criminal history when compiled by a governmental body, see Open Records Decision No. 565 (citing United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, 489 U.S. 749 (1989)); personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body, see Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990); some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses, see Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps); and identities of victims of sexual abuse, see Open Records Decision Nos. 440 (1986), 393 (1983), 339 (1982). We have reviewed the submitted records and marked the information that is protected by common law privacy and must be withheld under section 552.101. As for the remaining information, we find that, even if it could be considered highly intimate or embarrassing, it is of legitimate public concern. See Open Records Decision Nos. 444 at 5-6 (1986) (public has interest in public employee's qualifications and performance and circumstances of his resignation or termination), 405 at 2-3 (1983) (public has interest in manner in which public employee performs his job); see also Open Records Decision No. 423 at 2 (1984) (scope of public employee privacy is narrow). Thus, none of the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.101 on the basis of common law privacy.

We also note that some of the submitted information may be subject to section 552.117. Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure the present and former home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who request that this information be kept confidential under section 552.024. Section 552.117(a)(2) protects the same information regarding a peace officer regardless of whether the officer made an election under section 552.024 or section 552.1175 of the Government Code.² Pursuant to section 552.117(a)(2), the department must withhold the above-listed information of the employee if he was a licensed peace officer at the time this request was received. Pursuant to section 552.117(a)(1), the department must withhold the same information for this individual if he was not a licensed peace officer at the time this request was received but elected, prior to the receipt of this request, to keep such information confidential. We have marked the information that must be withheld if section 552.117 applies.

²"Peace officer" is defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Regardless of whether it is protected under section 552.117, the employee's social security number may be confidential under federal law. Section 552.101 also encompasses amendments to the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), that make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. See Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). We have no basis for concluding that the social security number at issue is confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I) and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Public Information Act (the "Act") imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing any social security number information, the department should ensure that such information is not obtained or maintained pursuant to any provision of law, enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

We also note that the submitted information includes the employee's Texas driver's license number. Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information [that] relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state." We have marked the information that the department must withhold pursuant to this exception.

In summary, the department must withhold the employee's W-4 under section 552.101 in conjunction with federal law. We have marked information that must be withheld under section 552.101 on the basis of common law privacy. We have also marked the information that must be withheld under section 552.117 if that exception applies. Regardless of whether section 552.117 applies, the employee's social security number may be confidential under federal law. The employee's Texas-issued driver's license number must be withheld pursuant to section 552.130. The remaining submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Denis C. McElroy

Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DCM/lmt

Ref: ID# 197514

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Joel C. Elliott
Ray & Elliot, PC
300 S. Trade Days Blvd.
Canton, Texas 75103
(w/o enclosures)